



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,226	02/06/2004	Erik K. Norden	20658/0203688-US0	8114
38881	7590	05/08/2006	EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP. 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 6TH AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10136-2714			COLEMAN, ERIC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,226	NORDEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Coleman	2183	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. The meaning of the phrases "configured to fetch a plurality of fetched bits"(claim 1, lines 4-5); and "fetching a first set of fetched bits" (claim 12, line 3 and claim 20, line 3) is unclear. Is the fetching a second fetch of bits that were already fetched or something else. The independent claims as detailed above comprised the unclear phrases however the unclear meaning extends to the claims that are depending on these claims and therefore the dependent claims are respectively also rejected.

4. Also claim 1 contains a instruction fetch and issue unit comprising...an instruction fetch stage; and a pipeline coupled to the instruction fetch and issue unit. The meaning is unclear (is a portion of the fetch and issue unit coupled to the fetch an issue unit such as a feed back loop or something else.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2183

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

6. Claims 1,3-8,12-16,20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Douglas (patent No. 6,609,193).

7. Douglas taught the invention as claimed including a data processing ("DP") system comprising (as per claim 1):

a) a multithreaded processor supporting plurality of active thread (e.g., see col. 2, line 59-col. 3, line 17);

b) Instruction fetch and issue unit comprising instruction fetch stage (313) configured to fetch a plurality of sets of fetched bits (e.g., see col. 4, lines 35-41), wherein each set of fetched bits represents one or more instructions; and a pipeline coupled to the instruction fetch and issue unit (e.g., see fig. 3) and configured to receive a set of fetched bits and an associated thread ID (e.g., see col. 4, line 42-col.5, line 53 and fig. 4).

8. As to claim 3, Douglas taught predecode stage configured to predecode each set of fetched bits (e.g., see fig. 5)(first length decoder).

9. As per claim 4,14, 22 Douglas taught the pipeline comprises a plurality of pipeline stages wherein each pipeline stage stores a thread ID (e.g., see fig. 4)(e.g., see col. 5 lines 1-53).

10. As per claim 5,15,23, Douglas taught the pipeline comprises a data forwarding unit for forwarding data from a first pipeline stage having a first thread ID to an second stage having a second thread ID (e.g., see col. 17, lines 7-50).

Art Unit: 2183

11. As per claim 6,16,24, Douglas taught the data forwarding unit comprises a comparator (e.g., see fig. 6 and col. 9, lines 14-36 and col. 11, lines 10-55),

12. As per claims 7,8, Douglas taught the data forwarding unit forward data when the first thread ID is equal to a second ID and prevents data forwarding when the first thread ID is not equal to the second ID (e.g., see fig. 6 and col. 12, lines 32-64 and col. 11, lines 10-55).

13. As per claims 12,20 Douglas taught means and step for fetching a first set of bits representing one or more instructions (e.g., see col. 4, lines 35-41); means and set for attaching an associate thread ID to the set of fetched bits (e.g., see col. 5, lines 1-55)[thread-id and instruction flow in corresponding stages of two pipelines attached or linked by conductors in figure 4]; means and step for issuing the instructions of the first set of fetched bits with the associated thread ID to the pipeline)[thread-id and instruction are input or issued and flow in corresponding stages of two pipelines attached or linked by conductors in figure 4].

14. As per claims 13,21 Douglas taught means and step for reading a first operand for the first set of instructions and means and step for propagating the associated thread ID through the pipeline with the first set of instructions and operand (e.g., see fig. 4 and col. 4, lines 42-65)[the instructions/operands are decoded into UOPs that are propagated down pipeline with associated thread ID].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

15. Claims 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Douglas (patent No 6,609,193).

16. Douglas taught the invention as claimed including a data processing ("DP") system comprising (as per claim 1):

a) a multithreaded processor supporting plurality of active thread (e.g., see col. 2, line 59-col. 3, line 17);

b) Instruction fetch and issue unit comprising instruction fetch stage (313) configured to fetch a plurality of sets of fetched bits (e.g., see col. 4, lines 35-41), wherein each set of fetched bits represents one or more instructions; and a pipeline coupled to the instruction fetch and issue unit (e.g., see fig. 3) and configured to receive a set of fetched bits and an associated thread ID (e.g., see col. 4, line 42-col.5, line 53 and fig. 4).

17. As per claims 12,20 Douglas taught means and step for fetching a first set of bits representing one or more instructions (e.g., see col. 4, lines 35-41); means and set for attaching an associate thread ID to the set of fetched bits (e.g., see col. 5, lines 1-55)[thread-id and instruction flow in corresponding stages of two pipelines attached or linked by conductors in figure 4]; means and step for issuing the instructions of the first set of fetched bits with the associated thread ID to the pipeline)[thread-id and instruction are input or issued and flow in corresponding stages of two pipelines attached or linked by conductors in figure 4].

18. As per claim 2, Douglas taught the fetch and issue unit comprises an instruction buffer coupled to the instruction fetch stage and configured to store the sets of fetched bits and (e.g., see col. 6, lines 17-62) [thread specific buffer 502A store instructions of a

Art Unit: 2183

thread] Douglas however did not expressly detail the buffer storing the associated thread ID for each set of fetched bits. Douglas however taught the buffer 502A duplicates thread ID as instructions are output until the buffer 502A is cleared.

Therefore One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to store the thread ID in the buffer at least because the buffer is thread ID specific storing the instruction of the specific thread and duplicating the thread ID and sending the thread ID with each output instruction. Storing the thread ID in the buffer would have simplified the operation of retrieval of the thread ID for duplicating the thread ID.

19. Claims 9-11,17-19,25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Douglas as applied to claims 1,12,20 above, and further in view of Joy (patent No. 6,507,862).

20. As per claims 9-11, 17-19, 25-27 Joy taught a very fast exception handling logic includes connection of and exception signal or trap to evoke a switch in thread state and machine state where the switch in thread state or machine state causes the processor to enter and exit the exception handler immediately without invoking the operating system. (e.g., see col. 15, lines 8-26). Joy also taught thread reservations in which a thread pathway is reserved for usage by a selected thread (e.g., see col. 16, lines 9-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that the exception or trap handler that controlled thread switching on a priority basis would have resolved the trap when the highest priority thread was present and stalled the trap when a lesser priority thread was present.

Art Unit: 2183

21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Douglas and Joy. Both references were directed toward the problems for execution multiple thread in a data processing system. Since both references processed plurality of threads on a pipeline one of ordinary skill would have motivated to incorporate the exception handling logic of Joy at least to facilitate the switching between the threads in the combined system especially when there was at stall in a thread in the pipeline due to memory access (e.g. see col. 7, lines 54-62 of Douglas and col. 8, lines 46-60 of Joy).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Borkenhagen (patent No. 6,088,788) disclosed a background completion instruction and associated fetch request in a multithreaded processor (e.g., see abstract).

Burns (patent No. 7,010,669) disclosed a multithreaded system with thread queues in a pipeline (e.g., see abstract and fig. 2).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Coleman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2183

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EC

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Eric Coleman', with a stylized flourish at the end.

ERIC COLEMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER